	al Control of the Con			
1	JAMES C. OTTESON, State Bar No. 157781 jim@agilityiplaw.com MONICA MUCCHETTI ENO, State Bar No. 164107 monica@agilityiplaw.com AGILITY IP LAW 1000 University Circle, Spite 201			
2				
3				
4	1900 University Circle, Suite 201 East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Talanham (650) 227, 4200			
5	Telephone: (650) 227-4800 Facsimile: (650) 318-3483			
6	Attorneys for Defendants			
7	KERANOS LLC AND UNITED MODULE CORP.			
8		CEDICE COLIDE		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
12	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and	CASE NO.: CV-11-00430- LHK		
13	SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR		
14	Plaintiffs,	ORDER EXPEDITING BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE ON		
15	V.	DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND CASE		
16	UNITED MODULE CORP., and KERANOS, LLC,	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE		
17	Defendants.	AND ORDER		
18				
19	WHEREAS on February 1, 2011, defendant	s United Module Corp. ("United Module")		
20	WHEREAS on February 1, 2011, defendants United Module Corp. ("United Module") and Keranos, LLC ("Keranos") filed their Motions to Dismiss Pursuant to the First to File Rule			
21	and/or for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction ("Motion to Dismiss") in the above-referenced			
22	action before Magistrate Judge Grewal, the Court to which this case was initially assigned;			
23	WHEREAS by Order of February 2, 2011, Magistrate Judge Grewal referred this case to			
24	Judge Lucy Koh with a request to consider whether this case should be related to the following			
25	three declaratory judgment actions before Judge Koh entitled:			
26	• Microchip Tech., Inc. et. al. v. United Module Corp. et. al., Civil Action No. 3:10-			
27	cv-4241-LHK ("the Microchip California Case") [Dkt. No. 3 at ¶¶ 6-7];			
28				

27

28

- Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. et al. v. United Module Corp. et al., Civil Action No. 10-cv-5196-LV ("Freescale California Case") [Dkt. No. 3 at ¶¶ 7-8]; and
- Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg. Co. et al. v. United Module Corp. et al., Civil Action No. 10-cv-5290-BZ ("the TSMC California Case") [Dkt. No. 3 at ¶ 9]
 (collectively referred to herein as "Related Declaratory Judgment Actions");

WHEREAS all the parties to this action agree that this action should be related with the Related Declaratory Judgment Actions; and

WHEREAS, Keranos and United Module have previously filed Motions to Dismiss Pursuant to the First to File Rule and/or for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction in the Related Declaratory Judgment Actions that are currently set for hearing before Judge Koh on March 3, 2011, with opposition briefs due on February 4 and reply briefs due on February 11;

WHEREAS, the Joint Case Management Conference for the Related Declaratory Judgment Actions is also scheduled for March 3, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the parties to this action agree that the Motion to Dismiss filed on February 1, 2011 should be heard and considered by Judge Koh at the March 3 hearing;

Plaintiff Samsung and Defendants Keranos and United Module hereby agree and STIPULATE, pursuant to Civ. Local Rule 6-2, that the following briefing and hearing schedule shall be implemented:

- Friday, February 4: Plaintiffs in this action and the Related Declaratory Judgment
 Actions shall file their opposition to defendants' Motions to Dismiss.
- Friday, February 11: Defendants in this action and the Related Declaratory Judgment Actions shall file their reply briefs in support of their motions to dismiss.
- Thursday, March 3: Hearing on the motions to dismiss in this action and the Related Declaratory Judgment Actions.

-2-

 Thursday, March 3: Case Management Conference for this action and the Related Declaratory Judgment Actions.

1	Dated: February 3, 2011	AGILITY IP LAW
2	Buted: Teordary 5, 2011	MOILIT II LAW
3		By: /s/ Monica Mucchetti Eno Monica Mucchetti Eno
4 5		Attorneys for Defendants KERANOS, LLC and UNITED MODULE
6		CORP.
7	Dated: February 3, 2011	KING & SPALDING LLP
8		
9		
10		By: /s/ Paul J. Andre Paul J. Andre
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

Judg Lucy H. Koh Honorable Judge of the

United States District Court

Dated: February 3, 2011

STIPULATED REQ. FOR ORDER EXPEDITING BRIEFING & HRG SCHEDULE ON DEFTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

-4-

Case No. CV-11-00430-LHK